Application No.: 10/565,798

Art Unit: 3617

Attorney Docket No.: 052895

Response

REMARKS

Claims 2, 3 and 5-23 are pending in the application and stand rejected. Claims 2, 5-8, 10,

11, 13-15, 17-20 and 22 are amended. No new matter is added. Claims 1 and 4 are cancelled. In

light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, Applicant earnestly solicits

favorable reconsideration.

Drawings

The drawings stand objected to for several reasons. First, the Examiner states that they

do not show a "transverse slot extending through the catamaran hull of claim 17." A catamaran

hull is shown in Fig. 17. A transverse slot is shown in a mono-hull in Figs. 13 and 16. Fig. 18

shows a transverse slot in a catamaran hull, but not in a plan view. However, Applicant

respectfully submits that Fig. 18 is sufficient to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.83(a). The

Examiner contends that according to 37 CFR 1.83(a) a transverse slot in a catamaran hull must

be shown.

Specifically, 37 CFR 1.83(a) requires "The drawings in a nonprovisional application must

show every feature of the invention specified in the claims." This has been done as a transverse

slot is shown in Figs. 13, 16 and 18 denoted by reference numeral 23. It does not matter what

type of hull configuration the transverse slot is shown used in. That is, the "transverse slot" is

the claimed feature of claims 15, 17 and 18.

As such, Applicant respectfully submits that the drawings comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a).

-7-

Application No.: 10/565,798 Response

Art Unit: 3617 Attorney Docket No.: 052895

The Examiner also objects to Figs. 3-5 and 10-13 because they do not show how there are

multiple centers of buoyancy. The Examiner submits that any structural detail that is essential

for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawings.

However, the structure of the hull is shown in the drawings. As such, Applicant respectfully

submits that the drawings are proper.

Furthermore, as indicated above with respect to 37 CFR 1.83(a), the drawings must show

every feature specified in the claims. The multiple centers of buoyancy required in claims 13

and 22 pertain to, for example, Fig.1, reference numeral 21. Thus, the claimed feature is shown

in the drawings.

Claims Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph:

Claims 4-8, 10, 13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the Examiner rejects claim 17 because "although a catamaran has two hulls,

applicant has not explicitly claimed multiple or two hulls."² Applicant respectfully disagrees

with the Examiner's rejection on two points.

First a catamaran by definition has two hulls, and therefore requiring each hull to have a

transverse slot is not indefinite. Applicant is not required to claim a single or multiple hulls.

¹ The Examiner objects on the same basis with respect to claim 18 as well.

² Claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 17 and thus the rationale set forth for claim 17 also applies to

claim 18.

- 8 -

Application No.: 10/565,798 Response

Art Unit: 3617 Attorney Docket No.: 052895

Second the term "each" in claim 17 (and claim 18) applies to all hulls in however many

hulls a vessel may contain. That is, "each" applies to one hulled vessels as well as 3 hulled

vessels. A person having ordinary skill in the art would therefore be apprised of what applicant

is claiming in claims 17 and 18.

Regarding claim 4-8, 10 and 13, the Examiner objects because "applicant has not

explicitly defined a front, rear, top and bottom of the slot for the examiner to clearly establish

how the slot is open or closed." Applicant has amended the claims to address the Examiner's

rejection.

On the Merits

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 2-16, 22 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by

Klose (US Patent 3,291,088).

Independent Claim 2:

Independent claim 2 requires:

A boat having enhanced stability, safety and comfort, comprising:

a <u>unit body</u> boat hull having at least one elongated, <u>substantially</u> vertical slot extending substantially therethrough <u>such that said slot divides said boat hull</u>

into at least two substantially separate buoyant compartments, and such that water, on which the boat is floating, freely communicates with said slot and

with air within and surrounding said slot;

wherein said slot is fully or partly open at, at least, a bow or a stern or a port

side or a starboard side of said boat hull, or at a top or a bottom of said slot.

- 9 -

Attorney Docket No.: 052895

The Examiner uses Fig. 1 of Klose in attempting to disclose claim 2. Specifically, the

Examiner points to reference numeral 64 which is described as a "daggerboard trunk." Column

5, line 6. Applicant has amended claim 2 to require "wherein said slot is fully or partly open at,

at least, a bow or a stern or a port side or a starboard side of said boat hull or at a top or a bottom

of said slot." Klose does not disclose or fairly suggest this feature. Please see FIG. 4. Klose

appears to disclose a slot which is only open at a top or bottom of a boat hull, not at a bow or

stern of a boat hull.

Independent Claim 5:

Independent claim 5 requires in part:

wherein said slot is open at a stern and closed at a bow of said boat hull.

Similar to that discussed above regarding claim 2, Klose does not disclose or fairly

suggest this feature. That is, Klose does not disclose a slot open at a stern of a boat hull. Please

see FIG. 4.

Independent Claim 8:

Independent claim 8 requires:

A boat having enhanced stability, safety and comfort, comprising:

extending approximately the length of said boat hull such that said slot divides said boat hull into at least two substantially separate buoyant compartments, and such that water, on which the boat is floating, freely

a unit body boat hull having at least one elongated, substantially vertical slot

communicates with said slot and with air within and surrounding said slot; wherein said slot is closed at a bow and at a stern of said boat hull.

(Emphasis added.)

- 10 -

Application No.: 10/565,798 Response

Art Unit: 3617

As emphasized above, claim 8 requires a slot extending approximately the length of the

Attorney Docket No.: 052895

boat hull. On the other hand, the daggerboard trunk of Klose only extends a small distance

compared to the length of the boat hull. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that this feature

is not disclosed or fairly suggested by Klose.

<u>Independent Claim 15:</u>

A boat having enhanced stability, safety and comfort, comprising:

a unit-body boat hull having at least one elongated, substantially vertical slot extending substantially therethrough such that said slot divides said boat hull into at least two substantially separate buoyant compartments, and such that water, on which said boat is floating, freely communicates with said slot and

with air within and surrounding said slot;

wherein said slot extends transversely through said boat hull.

Klose does not disclose or fairly suggest the emphasized portion of claim 15, namely,

"said slot extends transversely through said boat hull." As shown in FIG. 4 of Klose, the

daggerboard trunk appears to extend in the direction of the boat hull, not in a transverse direction

as required by claim 15.

Dependent Claims:

As each of the dependent claims depends upon one of the above mentioned independent

claims, the arguments presented above also apply to the dependent claims.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that their claimed invention is

allowable and ask that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §§

- 11 -

Application No.: 10/565,798

Art Unit: 3617

Attorney Docket No.: 052895

Response

102 and 103 be reconsidered and withdrawn. Applicant respectfully submits that this case is in

condition for allowance and allowance is respectfully solicited.

If any points remain at issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a

personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at

the local exchange number listed below.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

Westerman, Hataori, Daniels & Adrian, LLP

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 59,145

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

WFW/DMH/klf